

Randy Chapel  
PO Box 1050  
Boulder Creek, CA 95006  
[randychapellegal@gmail.com](mailto:randychapellegal@gmail.com)

April 28, 2012

To whom it may concern:

This is to respond to the letter dated April 16<sup>th</sup>, which is postmarked the 24<sup>th</sup>. I don't know who wrote it at this time.

There will be no further "welfare" checks on Joel Chapel, an American living in England and being held against his will from speaking to his father. There is no value in any further meetings conducted by the embassy. Susan has indicated in writing that she intends to keep Joel from me until he is an adult – a complete change in the relationship and induced litigation tactic she started in Dec 2010 with Belinda Smith. While developmentally this is wrong on many levels, this is supported by her mother Janice a GP and her father, the Bishop of Peterborough. This has more than anything else outraged my family and me at this point. The Allister's are fully aware of the pain they have caused by these actions and continue to cause.

We fully believe that we have not received all the communications which have been made, we are aware that there are communications that are not reflected in the previous statements and there is interaction with Justice in Washington. Therefore the remainder of this letter addresses this fact as well.

Our family has concluded that Joel is basically dead, and will be treated as such. We will be seeking damages for such.

Randy and Joel have been deprived of each other's father-son love, affection, solace, companionship, society, protection, moral guidance and support, services, care, counsel, training, and advice. Susan unilaterally removed Randy as Joel's father until Joel is an adult. Susan's conduct (aided by Donald Allister the Bishop of Peterborough, Janice Allister, and John Allister) are depriving Randy from raising and instructing Joel in the values of loving father, parental affection and devotion shared with and between parents and their offspring. Susan is preventing and depriving Joel, by alienating Randy from raising and instructing Joel about and in American values, as well as his own life. The loss of his young child deprives Randy of his instinctive need to nurture, guide and to affectionately love his child and to be the kind of father Randy wanted to be for his son, which he did not have himself. The loss of his father-son relationship with Joel associated with all the other losses Randy experiences are substantial and should not be diminished or reduced. This has gone on for over 544 days now.

Carol and Dale would like to have known Joel and to communicate with him, but are being deprived of a loving grandparent-grandchild relationship with Joel by Susan and the Allister family who are alienating us from Joel which is abusive to Joel. Susan is depriving us of love, affection, solace, companionship, society, protection, moral guidance and support, services, care, counsel, training, and advice with Joel, while Susan has removed us from having a loving relationship with Joel, and she likely will then seek to replace Carol and Dale as grandparents, with the expressed intent to revoke the relationship until Joel is an adult at which time Dale and Carol will probably be deceased. Susan's conduct (bolstered and supported by the Allister family) has deprived Grandfather Dale and Grandmother Carol from instructing Joel in the values of loving affection of his American grandparents and telling him stories about their lives and the lives of other family members now deceased. Susan and the Allisters are alienating Joel from our devotion that is commonly shared with and between grandparents and their grandchildren. The loss of our grandchild has also deprived Dale and Carol of our instinctive need to nurture, guide, and to affectionately love our grandchild, and to be the kind of grandparents, Randy wanted for his son, Joel Tristan Allister Chapel. The loss of the grandparent-grandchild relationship with Joel associated with all the other losses that Dale and Carol experience are substantial and should not be diminished or reduced.

Joel's last words were in Nov 2010, when he asked to speak to me and Susan kept him from doing so. This remains to this day. Because of the abuse and influencing by the Allisters that started **AFTER** the May 10<sup>th</sup> award in 2010, Joel will never –**NEVER** be the same person, he once was or the person *he was intended to be*. He was intended to have both his parents, not to be raised as a bastard son. Because I will be old and in a vulnerable position due to

Susan's actions now, and due and under the advise of others, we will not allow Joel into our lives due directly to what has happen and the need to protect ourselves from being exploited in the future by Susan and the Allisters – a fact all to common that parents use children to “spy” or get something from the other parent. We are not going to play these dysfunctional games. Joel is dead and we have to accept this as fact.

Susan used the fact that the government has supported Western Seminary and covered up its and their fraud to her advantage in her divorce papers, by portraying me with an “*obsessive absorption*” and “*morbid affect*” to fight not to be apart of this fraud and seek justice against Western. AS of this date, the government remains in support of Western Seminary and its cover up of the errors and omissions concerning of the Spelling administration, which has taken a destructive toll on my life and family.

FTCA claims have been made and never responded too by the government. I expect we will be caused to fight against the government's need to cover for itself, Western, ATS, and NWCCU. This will be the beginning of the ultimate disaster ending, which will conclude by Nov of this year.

Furthermore, Susan is British. She and her family, as apparently England, do not understand ***American Values*** or most importantly the sources and interpretation of the United States Declaration of Independence - “*We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.*”

Susan, like most British, has quirky views when it comes to America, because they don't understand our basic value system, which makes us who we are, and what we stand for. They see America's George Washington as its greatest enemy commander because he led America's successful independence from England.<sup>1</sup> They don't understand freedom and the price Americans are willing to pay for those freedoms, for their civil rights, for the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Instead, a British court found that it was wrong of me, that it was a “*bad behavior*” to fight at length against the fraud – a fraud that this government condones and supports against the U.S. Constitution, a state Constitution, federal laws, state laws, decisional cases and public policy because as Susan noted ***I lost***. Susan used the government condoning Western's actions against me and not only got her divorce, but has retained Joel ever since because of what the government condones.

This is the person who will be instructing Joel. That fighting for your freedoms, for your civil rights, for the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness is not good – and look what happen to your father if you do!

It is not reasonable and completely foolish to believe that if I went about in a British court with the government ongoing condoning of Western's actions, that I will not only will be made a fool, but will further suffer as a result.

For one, hundreds of thousands of dollars have been spent fighting Western's positions that it can link education to covering up for the sexual misconduct of Steve Korch, that it can link education to violating state educational laws, other state laws, and federal laws (Section 504, FERPA,...), not to mention decisional cases and public policies.

Second, I have no money, Dale has gone through his entire savings and Carol has recently had to get money out of her life insurance policy – all to deal with the con-current FOIA lawsuits, and to pay bills. We live hand to mouth. I don't have the energy to work and cannot take the stress. I am totally dependant on my parents at this point.

Third, this government had and continues to condone Western's actions and cover for itself. This has been effectively used against me by Susan already and will continue to be.

My life has been completely screwed over. I have spent ¼ of my life already dealing with Western and its corruptions. I have spent since 2005 dealing with the government's errors and omissions. I have lost everything and everyone close to me as a result. No dog and pony “welfare” check will fix any of this and neither will a British court, given that it is the American government that condones what Western is doing.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2130168/George-Washington-voted-Britains-greatest-enemy-commander.html>

I am not and will not, live the rest of my life to suit the needs to cover for Western Seminary or for the government. The government's errors led to Western getting away with murder and the complete destruction of my life now. I refuse to accept a "less than" life, "less than" Liberty and "less than" the pursuit of Happiness for the rest of my life, so that Western can continue to tout itself as a moral place, only engaging in the most highest form of business practices<sup>2</sup> only to abuse other students and families at will.

I have been pushed one time to far now. When you push a person long enough and hard enough, you can break them.

I am broken. I am shattered. I am upset and I am going to act out now as a result.

The government has declared war against my family and me and eviscerated our very *unalienable Rights* as Americans by its actions, which Belinda Smith used for Susan's divorce and taking away my son.

I refuse to be oppressed and abused any longer. I have reached my breaking point.

Randy Chapel.

20120428\_Embassyletter.doc

---

<sup>2</sup> "Western Seminary is committed to the highest possible standards of ethical, moral and legal business conduct. In line with this commitment and Western's commitment to open communication, this policy aims to provide an avenue for employees to raise concerns and reassurance that they will be protected from reprisals or victimization for whistle-blowing in good faith." This comes from Western's purported February 12, 2008 "Whistle-Blower Policy." In reality, this "policy" is actually a plagiarized intellectual property work from 20/20 Technologies dated September 6, 2005 ([http://www.2020technologies.com/en-us/about-us/corporate-governance/~media/pdf/en/corporate%20governance/whistle\\_blowing\\_policy.ashx](http://www.2020technologies.com/en-us/about-us/corporate-governance/~media/pdf/en/corporate%20governance/whistle_blowing_policy.ashx)). Western is so corrupt that it routinely takes the work of others and claims it as their own as it did in 2005 to the OCR in order to retain federal funds during a Section 504 investigation based on my 2001 whistle blowing of Matt and Gary Tuck. There was no whistle blowing policy in 2001, they came up with in 2008 due to the lawsuit underway.